Entrococcus faecalis Elimination in Root Canals Using Silver Nanoparticles, Photodynamic Therapy, Diode Laser, or Laser-activated Nanoparticles: An *In Vitro* Study

Farzaneh Afkhami, DDS, MSc,*† *Saba Akbari, DDS,*‡ *and Nasim Chiniforush, DDS, PhD*§

Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs), an 810-nm diode laser (DL), conventional photodynamic therapy (PDT) with the use of indocyanine green (ICG) photosensitizer, and modified PDT with the use of AgNPs for the disinfection of root canals inoculated with *Enterococcus faecalis*. **Methods:** The root canals of 65 extracted human single-rooted teeth were prepared, and *E. faecalis* was incubated in the root canals for 4 weeks. The teeth were then randomly divided into the following 4 experimental groups: the DL group: 810-nm DL irradiation (1 W, 4 times for 10 seconds), the AN group: 5 minutes of irrigation with 5 mL AgNPs (100 ppm), the ICG/DL group: conventional PDT with ICG (1 mg/mL)/810-nm DL (200 mW, 30 seconds), and the AN/ICG/DL group: modified PDT with AgNPs/ICG/810-nm DL (200 mW, 30 seconds). There was also a control group, which consisted of 5 minutes of irrigation with 5 mL 2.5% sodium hypochlorite ($n = 9$). Samples were obtained from dentin chips before and after the interventions. A reduction in colony count was assessed by counting the colony-forming units. **Results:** Significant reductions were noted in *E. faecalis* colony counts in all groups ($P < .05$). The greatest reduction in colony count (99.12%) was noted in the AN/ICG/DL group (AgNPs/ICG/810-nm diode laser); however, the differences in this respect between the AN/ICG/DL group and the DL (97.41%), AN (94.42%), and control groups (94.61%) were not significant ($P > .05$). **Conclusions:** PDT with ICG, an 810-nm diode laser, and AgNPs has the potential to be used as an adjunct for disinfection of the root canal system. (*J Endod 2017;43:279–282*)

Key Words

Biofilm, disinfection, *Enterococcus faecalis*, indocyanine green, laser, nanoparticles, root canal

Mechanical debridement and cleaning of the root canal system (RCS), the use of irrigants, and microbial control and filling of the RCS are the main factors influencing the outcome of endodontic treatments (1). The complex anatomy of the RCS (ie, the presence of isthmuses, accessory canals, and dentinal tubules) enables the survival of bacteria after the completion of conventional cleaning of the RCS (2). Instrumentation alone cannot completely eliminate the bacteria from the RCS. Thus, chemical agents and different disinfection techniques are used for further decreasing the intracanal bacterial count (3). Mechanical root canal cleaning alone is capable of decreasing the bacterial count by 100- to 1000-folds; however, it cannot completely eliminate the bacteria from the RCS (4). *Enterococcus faecalis* is a gram-positive anaerobic cocci responsible for most cases of endodontic treatment failures (5). A previous study showed that *E. faecalis* was isolated from 18% of the primary endodontic infections and 67% of cases of endodontic failures (4). *E. faecalis* triggers the body immune response and impairs the function of lymphocytes (5). It is capable of surviving in inadequate nutritional conditions and can stay viable as a single microorganism (6). It can penetrate into the dentinal tubules and is capable of biofilm formation (7). Bacterial biofilm is highly resistant to conventional irrigants because of formation of the extracellular polymeric matrix by this microorganism (8). Moreover, bacterial biofilm protects the bacteria from the immune system and provides nutrients; resultantly, it increases the resistance of microorganisms (9).

Various irrigants at variable concentrations such as sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) and chlorhexidine are used as an adjunct to conventional mechanical root canal cleaning methods (10). However, intracanal irrigants are only effective when in contact with the surface, and they cannot penetrate deep into the surfaces because of anatomic barriers (3). NaOCl can penetrate into the dentinal tubules by 130 μm, whereas bacteria can penetrate into the dentinal tubules by 1000 μm (11). Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) can also be used for disinfection because of their optimal antimicrobial properties. They are effective against many microorganisms including *E. faecalis* (12). Nanoparticles have polycationic/polyanionic properties with a high surface area and positive charge density, which enhance their antibacterial activity (7, 13). High-power lasers are among

the newly introduced techniques for root canal disinfection (14). Evidence shows that diode lasers (DLs) can decrease the intracanal bacterial count and penetrate 500 μm into the surface. Moreover, application of a DL in conjunction with irrigants has shown more favorable results. A DL has the advantage of penetrating into the anatomic irregularities of the RCS. A study showed that an 810-nm DL obstructed the dentinal tubules and decreased the *Escherichia coli* and *E. faecalis* bacterial counts (9).

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is an adjunct method for the inactivation of bacteria (8). In PDT, a reaction occurs between light and a photosensitizer in the presence of oxygen. This reaction generates reactive oxygen species and causes oxidative damage to the respective cells (5). PDT has the advantage of specifically targeting the microorganisms without affecting the host (8). There is a possibility that this method improves dentin strength via increasing the cross-links in collagen fibers (15). Indocyanine green (ICG) has gained special attention as a new photosensitizer. ICG has low host tissue toxicity, high absorption in the near-infrared (IR) spectrum, and fungal and bacterial elimination efficacy and causes powerful photosensitized cellular damage. It is generally believed that ICG affects the target cell mainly through a photothermal effect rather than a photochemical effect and performs its bactericidal effect through oxidative stress (16, 17). ICG can be beneficial because of its peak absorption at 810 nm, which coincides with the commercially available dental DLs. Moreover, this wavelength has more penetration depth than red lasers used for toluidine blue and methylene blue, which is favorable in the elimination of bacteria in root canal therapy (17, 18).

This *in vitro* study aimed to compare the efficacy of an 810-nm DL, PDT with ICG photosensitizer in conjunction with an 810-nm DL, AgNPs, and modified PDT with AgNPs for decreasing the *E. faecalis* count in the RCS. The study hypothesis was that the modified PDT with the use of AgNPs would have greater efficacy than conventional PDT for the elimination of *E. faecalis*.

Materials and Methods
Preparation of Teeth

This study was conducted on 65 single-canal freshly extracted human teeth. The teeth were radiographically confirmed to have a single canal. Roots were cut at a 14-mm distance from the apex using a diamond disc. The working length was considered 1 mm short of the apical foramen (13 mm). Root canals were instrumented using ProTaper rotary files (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) up to F4 (master apical file) along with the application of RC-Prep (Premier, Norristown, PA). In between the use of rotary files, canals were rinsed with 2.5% NaOCl (Barf, Paksan Co, Tehran, Iran) using a 1-mL insulin syringe and 30-G needle (Soha Co, Tehran, Iran). After completion of instrumentation, the canals were rinsed with 1 mL 17% EDTA, 5 mL normal saline, and 1 mL NaOCl, respectively, each for 3 minutes using a 30-G insulin syringe to remove the smear layer. Eventually, all canals were rinsed with 5 mL saline solution. The apical foramen was then sealed with self-cure glass ionomer (GC Co, Tokyo, Japan), and the root surfaces were covered with 2 layers of a nail varnish. The teeth were then transferred into 2-mL microtubes and autoclaved at 121°C for 15 minutes.

Bacterial Inoculation of Root Canals

E. faecalis standard strains (American Type Culture Collection 29212) were cultured in 5 mL brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth (33 g/1 L; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Next, 100 μL sterile BHI was added to sterile teeth in microtubes, and 30 μL of the culture medium containing approximately 10^9 *E. faecalis*

bacteria was also added into the canals. The specimens were then incubated at 37°C for 4 weeks to form biofilm, and, every 2 days, 30 μL of the suspension (BHI containing *E. faecalis*) was aspirated from the canal and replaced by fresh sterile BHI medium under sterile conditions.

Experimental Procedures

The specimens were randomly divided into 4 experimental groups (n = 14) and a control group (n = 9) as follows:

1. Group DL (810-nm DL): the teeth were irradiated with an 810-nm DL (ARC Laser, Nürnberg, Deutschland) (1 W, CW). A DL with a 200-μm diameter bur tip was used 1 mm short of the apex and moved from the apex toward the coronal part in a rotary motion for 10 seconds. This process was repeated 4 times with 15-second intervals.
2. Group AN (AgNPs/100 ppm): root canals were rinsed with 5 mL AgNP suspension (Nanopoosheshfelez, Tehran, Iran) at a 100-ppm concentration (the average particle size was 30 nm), and the solution remained in the root canal for 5 minutes and then was aspirated by a 30-G insulin syringe.
3. Group ICG/DL (ICG/810-nm DL): root canals were filled with ICG (Pulsion Medical Systems AG, Munich, Germany) at the concentration of 1 mg/mL. After 5 minutes, the canals were irradiated with an 810-nm DL (200 mW, CW) for 30 seconds with a bulb-shaped tip.
4. Group AN/ICG/DL (AgNPs/ICG/diode): a suspension of 100 ppm AgNP suspension and ICG with a 1:40 ratio was injected into the root canals using a 30-G insulin syringe and irradiated with an 810-nm DL as in group ICG/DL.
5. Group N (2.5% NaOCl): root canals were rinsed conventionally with 5 mL 2.5% NaOCl, and the solution remained in the root canals for 5 minutes. Next, 1 mL 5% sodium thiosulfate was injected into the root canals by a 30-G insulin syringe to neutralize NaOCl and remained in the root canals for 30 seconds.

Microbiological Procedures

Bacterial samples were obtained of each specimen at baseline and after each intervention protocol.

Primary Sampling. A baseline microbial sample of the root canal was taken just before canal disinfection. For primary sampling, BHI was aspirated from inside the root canals using a 12-mm, 30-G syringe. Then, the root canals were filled with sterile saline solution using a 30-G syringe, and dentin was scraped from inside the canals using a #40 Hedstrom file (Mani Inc, Tochigi, Japan). A #40 sterile paper point (Gapadent Co, Hamburg, Germany) was placed inside the canals for 60 seconds and was then transferred into a sterile microtube containing 1 mL saline solution and vortexed for 20 seconds. A serial 10-fold dilution up to $1:10^2$ was prepared. Finally, 100 μL of each dilution was spread onto blood agar plates (30 g/1 L distilled water in 50 mL sheep blood) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The number of colony-forming units was counted afterward and transformed into actual counts according to the dilution factor.

Final Sampling. To standardize all groups, root canals were irrigated with 5 mL sterile saline, which remained in the root canals for 30 seconds, and then the canals were dried using paper points. Samples were obtained from inside the canals using Mtwo rotary files (35/0.4%; Dentsply, Munchen, Germany) at the working length operating at 300 rpm and 1.2-Ncm torque as recommended by the manufacturer for 1 minute. Under sterile conditions, files were transferred into sterile microtubes containing 1 mL of the saline solution and vortexed for 20 seconds. Bacterial culture was prepared as described for primary sampling.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for comparison of the percentage of reduction in colony count (%RCC) among the understudy groups. The Dunn test was applied for pair-wise comparisons. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used for comparison of the colony count before and after the intervention in each group (intragroup comparison). The %RCC was calculated using the following equation:

$$\frac{\text{CFUs (Before treatment)} - \text{CFUs (After treatment)}}{\text{CFUs (before treatment)}} \times 100 = \%RCC$$

Results

The Wilcoxon signed rank test showed significant reductions in the *E. faecalis* count in all groups after the intervention compared with the baseline values ($P < .05$). Table 1 shows the %RCC based on the mean counts before and after the intervention. The highest %RCC was noted in the AN/ICG/DL group (AgNPs + ICG + diode) and the lowest in the ICG/DL group (ICG + diode). The N (NaOCl) and AN (AgNPs) groups were not significantly different in terms of %RCC ($P > .05$). The difference in this respect between the AN/ICG/DL-ICG/DL ($P < .05$) and DL-ICG/DL groups ($P < .05$) was significant, but no other significant differences were noted among other groups ($P > .05$).

Discussion

The long-term success of endodontic treatment depends on the maximum elimination of intracanal microorganisms (19). This goal may be achieved by proper mechanical cleaning and shaping of the RCS in conjunction with irrigation with antimicrobial agents (11, 20). Considering the importance of efficient cleaning and elimination of microorganisms from the RCS, this study aimed to assess the efficacy of 4 different disinfection methods, which could be used as an adjunct to mechanical debridement.

E. faecalis was used in this study because it is generally believed that this bacteria is one of the most resistant microorganisms found in the infected root canals and has a higher prevalence in secondary infections compared with primary infections (6). It is capable of forming biofilm, which confers resistance against phagocytosis, antibodies, and antimicrobial agents to this microorganism and improves its living conditions (8, 21).

The mechanism of disinfection by PDT is via the irradiation of a photosensitizer. It is a host-friendly technique for the elimination of microorganisms involved in periodontal and endodontic infections, whereas other methods such as the direct application of NaOCl or antibiotic therapy can be potentially harmful for the host (8). PDT can effectively decrease the number of bacteria present in the biofilm.

The application of PDT is simple and painless, and it is more affordable than high-power lasers (22). On the other hand, near-IR wavelengths are more capable of penetrating into tissues compared with other light spectra. ICG is a photosensitizer used for near-IR wavelengths with an optical density (absorbance) at around 800 nm (23). Because of the optimal efficacy of lasers at near-IR wavelengths (810 nm) for decreasing the *E. faecalis* count in the RCS (23–25) and also limited evidence regarding the use of ICG for the elimination of this microorganism from the RCS, we decided to further investigate these modalities in our study.

In the current study, the obtained results for the conventional PDT (ICG + DL) showed the lower efficacy of this technique compared with that of modified PDT (AgNPs + ICG + DL); this finding supports our study hypothesis. In their study, Stojicic et al (9) used methylene blue mixed with 0.5% hydrogen peroxide and 0.05% chlorhexidine for modified PDT; however, they reported results similar to ours. Pagonis et al (2) also used poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles with methylene blue and light and reported a greater reduction in colony-forming units compared with conventional PDT. In the present study, the magnitude of reduction in the bacterial count in the conventional PDT group was smaller than that in other studies, which may be because of the use of ICG instead of other commonly used photosensitizers such as methylene blue and toluidine blue because the efficacy of the latter materials has been well established (14, 26–28). Also, this difference may be because the specimens containing photosensitizer were not incubated; when using other photosensitizers, tooth specimens are incubated for some time (11, 26). As a result, in our study, the photosensitizer could not penetrate well into the *E. faecalis* bacteria and, consequently, did not show adequate efficacy (%RCC = 68%). Bumb et al (29) stated that PDT may be used as an adjunct with other disinfection techniques for endodontic treatments. They used an 810-nm DL for 10 seconds, which showed higher efficacy compared with the control group specimens that had been subjected to conventional cleaning and shaping only. Ng et al (30) evaluated the efficacy of PDT with a 665-nm wavelength red light and methylene blue photosensitizer in 2 groups of conventional root canal cleaning (CMD) and PDT + CMD groups and reported the superior efficacy of PDT + CMD compared with CMD (26).

In the DL group in our study, the high-power laser eliminated 97% of the bacteria, which is in accord with the results of Gutknecht et al (31). The slight difference in results is probably because of the duration of laser irradiation. In our study, a total of 4 laser exposures, 10 seconds each, with 15-second intervals were made (%RCC = 97%). In their study, the specimens were only irradiated with laser for 30 seconds (%RCC = 74%).

AgNPs can lyse the cells and prevent their proliferation via several mechanisms (32, 33). AgNPs prevent DNA duplication and the expression of ribosomes and other cell proteins. It also interferes with energy transfer cycles of the bacteria (34–36). It also has high reactivity because of its large surface area, polycationic/polyanionic

TABLE 1. *Enterococcus faecalis* Colony-forming Units before and after Treatment

Group	Before treatment Median	Before treatment Range	After treatment Median	After treatment Range	%Reduction
DL	1.00×10^3	2 to 3.11×10^4	5×10^{-1}	0 to 5.90×10^2	97.41[a]
AN	1.47×10^3	5.25×10 to 1.93×10^4	1.5×10^1	0 to 1.43×10^3	94.42[ab]
ICG/DL	1.11×10^3	1×10^1 to 1.16×10^4	1.81×10^2	0 to 1.12×10^3	68.47[b]
AN/ICG/DL	2.05×10^3	8 to 2.48×10^4	2.5×10^{-1}	0 to 1.66×10^3	99.12[a]
N (NaOCl)	4.50×10^2	2.70×10^2 to 1.53×10^4	1.5×10^1	0 to 1.74×10^2	94.61[ab]

AN, silver nanoparticles; DL, diode laser; ICG, indocyanine green; N, control; NaOCl, sodium hypochlorite.

Different superscripts show statistical significance ($P < .05$).

nature, and positive charge density (12). Considering the lack of *in vitro* studies on the application of AgNP suspension against *E. faecalis* in the RCS, the current study focused on this issue. We used a 5-mL suspension of AgNPs at a 100-ppm concentration for 5 minutes (AN group), which showed an efficacy similar to the use of 2.5% NaOCl for the reduction of bacterial count. Hiraishi et al (37) used a silver compound (Ag[Na$_3$]$_2$F) and NaOCl for 60 minutes (both) inside the RCS and reported similar efficacy in decreasing the bacterial count.

Conclusion

Application of an 810-nm DL/ICG did not have adequate antimicrobial activity for use as an adjunct in endodontic treatments. The use of 100 ppm AgNP suspension and conventional irrigation with 2.5% NaOCl showed similar antimicrobial efficacy. AgNPs/ICG/810-nm DL was successful in decreasing the intracanal *E. faecalis* count; therefore, this method has high potential for use in endodontic treatments for the elimination of *E. faecalis*.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Paredes-Vieyra J, Enriquez FJ. Success rate of single- versus two-visit root canal treatment of teeth with apical periodontitis: a randomized controlled trial. J Endod 2012;38:1164–9.
2. Pagonis TC, Chen J, Fontana CR, et al. Nanoparticle-based endodontic antimicrobial photodynamic therapy. J Endod 2010;36:322–8.
3. Mehrvarzfar P, Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, et al. Additive effect of a diode laser on the antibacterial activity of 2.5% NaOCl, 2% CHX and MTAD against *Enterococcus faecalis* contaminating root canals: an *in vitro* study. J Oral Sci 2011;53:355–60.
4. Neelakantan P, Cheng CQ, Mohanraj R, et al. Antibiofilm activity of three irrigation protocols activated by ultrasonic, diode laser or Er:YAG laser *in vitro*. Int Endod J 2015;48:602–10.
5. Siddiqui SH, Awan KH, Javed F. Bactericidal efficacy of photodynamic therapy against Enterococcus faecalis in infected root canals: a systematic literature review. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther 2013;10:632–43.
6. Zhang C, Du J, Peng Z. Correlation between Enterococcus faecalis and persistent intraradicular infection compared with primary intraradicular infection: a systematic review. J Endod 2015;41:1207–13.
7. Du T, Wang Z, Shen Y, et al. Effect of long-term exposure to endodontic disinfecting solutions on young and old *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilms in dentin canals. J Endod 2014;40:509–14.
8. Shrestha A, Shi Z, Neoh KG, Kishen A. Nanoparticulates for antibiofilm treatment and effect of aging on its antibacterial activity. J Endod 2010;36:1030–5.
9. Stojicic S, Amorim H, Shen Y, Haapasalo M. *Ex vivo* killing of *Enterococcus faecalis* and mixed plaque bacteria in planktonic and biofilm culture by modified photoactivated disinfection. Int Endod J 2013;46:649–59.
10. Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Comparison of the *in vivo* antimicrobial effectiveness of sodium hypochlorite and chlorhexidine used as root canal irrigants: a molecular microbiology study. J Endod 2011;37:143–50.
11. Rios A, He J, Glickman GN, et al. Evaluation of photodynamic therapy using a light-emitting diode lamp against *Enterococcus faecalis* in extracted human teeth. J Endod 2011;37:856–9.
12. Afkhami F, Pourhashemi SJ, Sadegh M, et al. Antibiofilm efficacy of silver nanoparticles as a vehicle for calcium hydroxide medicament against *Enterococcus faecalis*. J Dent 2015;43:1573–9.
13. Javidi M, Afkhami F, Zarei M, et al. Efficacy of a combined nanoparticulate/calcium hydroxide root canal medication on elimination of *Enterococcus faecalis*. Aust Endod J 2014;40:61–5.
14. Bago I, Plečko V, Gabrić Panduric D, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of a high-power diode laser, photo-activated disinfection, conventional and sonic activated irrigation during root canal treatment. Int Endod J 2013;46:339–47.
15. Persadmehr A, Torneck CD, Cvitkovitch DG, et al. Bioactive chitosan nanoparticles and photodynamic therapy inhibit collagen degradation *in vitro*. J Endod 2014;40:703–9.
16. Fekrazad R, Karamifar K, Bahador A. Comparison of antibacterial effect of photodynamic therapy using indocyanine green (Emundo) with 2% metronidazole and 2% chlorhexidine gel on Porphyromonas gingivalis (an *in-vitro* study). Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther 2016;15:28–33.
17. Boehm TK, Ciancio SG. Diode laser activated indocyanine green selectively kills bacteria. J Int Acad Periodontol 2011;13:58–63.
18. Chiniforush N, Pourhajibagher M, Shahabi S, Bahador A. Clinical approach of high technology techniques for control and elimination of endodontic microbiota. J Lasers Med Sci 2015;6:139–50.
19. Siqueira JF Jr, Rôças IN. Clinical implications and microbiology of bacterial persistence after treatment procedures. J Endod 2008;34:1291–301.e3.
20. Gu LS, Kim JR, Ling J, et al. Review of contemporary irrigant agitation techniques and devices. J Endod 2009;35:791–804.
21. Pan J, Sun K, Liang Y, et al. Cold plasma therapy of a tooth root canal infected with enterococcus faecalis biofilms *in vitro*. J Endod 2013;39:105–10.
22. Gursoy H, Ozcakir-Tomruk C, Tanalp J, Yilmaz S. Photodynamic therapy in dentistry: a literature review. Clin Oral Investig 2013;17:1113–25.
23. Topaloglu N, Gulsoy M, Yuksel S. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy of resistant bacterial strains by indocyanine green and 809-nm diode laser. Photomed Laser Surg 2013;31:155–62.
24. Beer F, Buchmair A, Wernisch J, et al. Comparison of two diode lasers on bactericidity in root canals–an *in vitro* study. Lasers Med Sci 2012;27:361–4.
25. Moritz A, Gutknecht N, Goharkhay K, et al. *In vitro* irradiation of infected root canals with a diode laser: results of microbiologic, infrared spectrometric, and stain penetration examinations. Quintessence Int 1997;28:205–9.
26. Soukos NS, Chen PS, Morris JT, et al. Photodynamic therapy for endodontic disinfection. J Endod 2006;32:979–84.
27. Bonsor SJ, Nichol R, Reid TM, Pearson GJ. Microbiological evaluation of photo-activated disinfection in endodontics (an *in vivo* study). Br Dent J 2006;200:337–41.
28. Xu Y, Young MJ, Battaglino RA, et al. Endodontic antimicrobial photodynamic therapy: safety assessment in mammalian cell cultures. J Endod 2009;35:1567–72.
29. Bumb SS, Bhaskar DJ, Agali CR, et al. Assessment of photodynamic therapy (PDT) in disinfection of deeper dentinal tubules in a root canal system: an *in vitro* study. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8:ZC67–71.
30. Ng R, Singh F, Papamanou DA, et al. Endodontic photodynamic therapy *ex vivo*. J Endod 2011;37:217–22.
31. Gutknecht N, van Gogswaardt D, Conrads G, et al. Diode laser radiation and its bactericidal effect in root canal wall dentin. J Clin Laser Med Surg 2000;18:57–60.
32. Kim JS, Kuk E, Yu KN, et al. Antimicrobial effects of silver nanoparticles. Nanomedicine 2007;3:95–101.
33. Prabhu S, Poulose EK. Silver nanoparticles: mechanism of antimicrobial action, synthesis, medical applications, and toxicity effects. Int Nano Lett 2012;2:1–10.
34. Bragg PD, Rainnie DJ. The effect of silver ions on the respiratory chain of *Escherichia coli*. Can J Microbiol 1974;20:883–9.
35. Feng QL, Wu J, Chen GQ, et al. A mechanistic study of the antibacterial effect of silver ions on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Biomed Mater Res 2000;52:662–8.
36. Yamanaka M, Hara K, Kudo J. Bactericidal actions of a silver ion solution on *Escherichia coli*, studied by energy-filtering transmission electron microscopy and proteomic analysis. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:7589–93.
37. Hiraishi N, Yiu CK, King NM, et al. Antimicrobial efficacy of 3.8% silver diamine fluoride and its effect on root dentin. J Endod 2010;36:1026–9.